UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,650	10/31/2003	Charles V. Burton	ZMS-EF42US	3753
43541 WOOD HERE	7590 11/28/2007 ERRON & EVANS (ZIMMER SPINE)			INER
2700 CAREW TOWER			SWIGER III, JAMES L	
441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		(			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 1		
	10/698,650	BURTON, CHARLES V.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James L. Swiger	3733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	September 2007.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 31-37 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 31-37 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/31/2003</u> is/are: a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	- · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	<del></del> :	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application ·			

Application/Control Number:

10/698,650 Art Unit: 3733

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wall et al. (US Patent 6,746,450). Wall et al. disclose a monolithic spinal fixation device comprising a spinal stabilization member (body 22), and a first and second anchoring members (14) that extend from the stabilization member (14) and also extend perpendicularly from the stabilization member and are parallel to each other. See also Figure 6. The device and anchoring members form a monolithic device that is capable of insertion in to the vertebrae to aid in spinal fixation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wall et al. '450 in view of Serhan et al. (US Pub 2002/0143329. Wall et al. discloses the claimed

Application/Control Number:

10/698,650 Art Unit: 3733

invention except for a first and second anchoring means having a shape, specifically a cylindrical shape. Serhan et al. disclose a cylindrical shape (see Fig. 2a). This shape helps the spinal device cause minimal trauma to the tissues during insertion and also after implantation to the surrounding organs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Wall et al. having at least the cylindrical shape in view of Serhan et al. to better use the device in the surgical area in use.

Claims 2, 7, 33-34 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wall et al. in view of Burton (US Patent 4,743,260). Wall et al. disclose the claimed invention except for a porous device, or where pores extend axially. Burton discloses the claimed device that has pores that may be made from biocompatible materials that are porous and aid in the acceptance and incorporation of natural bone (Col. 4, lines 45-52). Additionally, the pores created may be considered to extend at least axially, and with regard to the reference, replamineform structure may create pores that would extend along an axis as well. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Wall et al. having at least a porous structure in view of Burton to better incorporate the device into the bone over time.

Claims 35-36 and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wall et al. in view of Burton (US Patent 4,743,260). The combination of Wall et al. and Burton disclose the claimed invention except for the pores having a certain range of sizes, for example, 190 and 1,200 microns. It would have been obvious

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have pores of that sice, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 31-37 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments regarding the monolithic construction have been considered and have been found persuasive, however, the claimed invention is still anticipated by prior art. Additionally the arguments directed to Burton have not been found persuasive. New rejections are above.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L. Swiger whose telephone number is 571-272-5557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/698,650 Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

11/25/02

JLS

L FOOM BORENT L FINTENT EXAMINER